ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Bisphenol A release from commercially available 3-dimensionally printed resins and human cell apoptosis to bisphenol A: an *in-vitro* study

Yun Sun Jung^{1,2,†}, Sang Tae Ro^{1,2}, Sang Wook Kang^{3,†}, Hyeonjong Lee⁴, Jang Sun Lee⁵, Yong Kwon Chae^{1,2}, Ko Eun Lee¹, Hyo-Seol Lee^{1,6}, Kyu Hwan Kwack⁷, Su Kang Kim⁸, Sung Chul Choi^{1,6}, Ok Hyung Nam^{1,6,*}

¹Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, College of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, 02447 Seoul, Republic of Korea ²Department of Dentistry, Graduate School, Kyung Hee University, 02447 Seoul, Republic of Korea

³Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, School of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, 02447 Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁴Department of Prosthodontics, Dental College, Yonsei University, 03722 Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁵Dio Implant Ortho Research & Design Center, 48058 Busan, Republic of Korea ⁶Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, 02447 Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁷ Department of Oral Microbiology, College of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, 02447 Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁸Department of Biomedical Laboratory Science, Catholic Kwandong University, 25601 Gangneung, Republic of Korea

*Correspondence

pedokhyung@gmail.com (Ok Hyung Nam)

[†] These authors contributed equally.

1. Introduction

The advent of 3-dimensional (3D) printing technology has been expected to drive industrial innovation through technological paradigm shifts in various fields. 3D printing technology enables new industrial forms through the convergence of manufacturing, information and communication technology, and personalized production. The adoption of 3D printing technology in the field of dentistry has been associated with a number of challenges. Due to the rapid production, high precision, personal customization, and easy application of 3D printing technology, it has gained widespread acceptance in dentistry [1]. Moreover, 3D printable polymers are increasingly used for dental restorations in the field of restorative dentistry [2, 3]. However, some environmental concerns re-

Abstract

Bisphenol A (BPA) from dental materials may be linked to children's health issues. This study aimed to assess the release of BPA from commercially available 3-dimensional (3D)-printed resin materials and evaluate BPA-related apoptotic effects on human periodontal ligament cells and gingival fibroblasts. Commercially available 3D-printed resin materials for prosthodontic use were selected as follows: NextDent C&B MFH (3D Systems, Rock Hill, SC, USA), DIOnavi-P. MAX (Dio Co., Busan, Korea), and DIOnavi-Denture02 (Dio Co., Busan, Korea). Identical cuboidal samples (1 cm \times 1 cm \times 0.5 cm) were printed from the materials and cured. BPA release was assessed using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS). In addition, human gingival fibroblasts and periodontal ligament cells were exposed to various BPA solutions based on the LC/MS results. Cell Counting kit-8 (CCK-8) and real-time polymerase chain reaction analyses were performed to evaluate BPA-related apoptotic effects. The LC/MS analysis confirmed that none of the 3D-printed resin materials released BPA after curing. Both human gingival fibroblasts and periodontal ligament cells showed lower viability after BPA exposure. Regarding apoptosis-related gene expression, Caspase10 (CASP10) expression in periodontal ligament cells was significantly different in the BPA solutions (p < 0.05). The expression of BAX and *Capspase8* (*CASP8*) in gingival fibroblasts was significantly increased by BPA in a dose-dependent manner (p < 0.05). Within the limitations of this study, the 3D-printed resin materials were not found to release BPA. This finding implies that 3D-printed resin materials are not associated with potential BPA-related risks in children.

Keywords

3-dimensional printing; Bisphenol A; Children; Dental materials; Digital dentistry; Pediatric dentistry

main regarding pollution from 3D printer-related microparticles because 3D printers form a specific shape by dissolving the printing materials [4].

Bisphenol A (BPA) is a chemical used in various consumer products. BPA may be linked to problems with genital development, immune function, thyroid function, and neurodevelopment in children [5]. BPA exposure in children may cause cardiovascular disorders by altering the structure and function of the heart [6]. A previous human study confirmed a link between urinary BPA levels and self-reported cardiovascular disease in children [7]. As BPA is an endocrine disruptor, early BPA exposure in childhood may increase adiposity and the risk of altered pubertal development by affecting reproductive hormones [8]. Furthermore, previous studies demonstrated that gestational BPA levels of children are associated with aggressive and hyperactive behaviors [8, 9]. The primary source of BPA is environmental pollution [10]. However, BPA exposure from dental materials still remains a concern [5]. BPA derivatives, such as Bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA), are one of the main components of composite resins as a monomer. The possibility of human exposure may exist after composite resin restorations because the monomer cannot be perfectly polymerized during light curing. Previous studies confirmed the release of BPA after composite resin restoration [11, 12]. A previous study evaluated BPA release from dental sealants and orthodontic bonding agents, such as Band-Lok® and TransbondTM PLUS and showed that BPA was still released from the materials after they were cured [13].

However, few studies have examined the release of BPA from 3D-printed resin materials. 3D-printed resin materials are progressively being employed for full-coverage restorations in the field of pediatric dentistry [2, 3]. Because the volume and surface area of 3D-printed resin materials are greater than those of composite resin restorations, it is essential to assess the release of BPA from 3D-printed resins in terms of biocompatibility. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the release of BPA from commercially available 3D-printed resin materials. The null hypothesis of this study was that there is no difference between BPA levels released from commercially available 3D-printed resins.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

The materials tested are listed in Table 1.

Product	Manufacturer	Uses
NextDent C&B MFH (Micro Filled Hybrid)	3D systems, Rock Hill, SC, USA	Crown & bridges
DIOnavi-P. MAX	Dio co., Busan, Korea	Crown & bridges
DIOnavi- Denture02	Dio co., Busan, Korea	Dentures
Filtek Z250	3M ESPE, St.Paul, USA	Direct restorations

TABLE 1. Materials used in this study.

2.2 Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS)

BPA release was assessed using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) [14]. First, BPA solutions (0.1, 1, 10, and 100 ppm) were prepared by diluting pure BPA powders (Product number: 239658, Sigma-Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, USA) in distilled water (DW) for BPA identification and quantification (Fig. 1). BPA identification in the solutions was performed using an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano HPLC system with a Q ExactiveTM Plus Hybrid Quadrupole-OrbitrapTM Mass Spectrometer (Mass Spectrometer, Thermo Scientific, USA). According to International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard 10993-12, all chromatographic separations were performed with a column (Acquity UPLC BEH C18; Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) with a dimension of 2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μ m. The mobile phase consisted of water with 0.01% formic acid (mobile phase A) and acetonitrile/methanol with 0.01% formic acid (mobile phase B). The column temperature was maintained at 45 °C. Furthermore, 6.5 mM AB reagent in DW and 6.5 mM AB in acetonitrile were used as solvents. Five microliters of each solution was injected at 5 °C. Also, a 4-point standard curve with BPA concentrations was constructed to estimate the samples of the test materials based on these solutions.

Identical cuboidal samples $(1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm} \times 0.5 \text{ cm})$ of the 3D-printed resin materials were printed and cured using a 3D printer (DIO PROBO Z; Dio Co., Busan, Korea). Samples of Filtek Z250 were manually made with the same dimensions. Filtek Z250 was placed twice in a silicone mold $(1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm} \times 0.5 \text{ cm})$ with a thickness of 2.5 mm. A SmartLite Focus (Dentsply Sirona, Milford, DE, USA) was used to perform incremental curing in each placement according to the manufacturer's protocol. Seven samples per group were then placed in a conical tube containing 8 mL of DW. The samples were incubated for one and seven days at 37 °C. Three conical tubes were allocated to each material (n = 3 per group). The identification of BPA from the samples was performed using LC/MS in the same way as for the BPA solutions.

2.3 BPA-related cell toxicity by CCK-8 assay

BPA solutions (0, 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1 ppm) were prepared by diluting with methyl alcohol. Human gingival fibroblasts (HGF) and periodontal ligament (PDL) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco BRL, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 . Cells at passage 4 were used. The cell toxicity was evaluated using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Kumamoto, Japan) as previously described [15]. The cells were seeded in a 96-well plate with 100 μ L of culture medium. After one day of incubation, the medium was removed, and 90 μ L BPA solution was added. The cells were then exposed to BPA solutions for 0.5, 1, 6, and 24 h. Then, 10 μ L of CCK-8 solution was added to the plate and incubated for 3 h at 37 °C. Cell viability was determined by measuring optical density at 450 nm using a Benchmark Plus Multiplate Spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

2.4 Apoptosis-related gene expression by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

RT-PCR analysis was performed to investigate apoptosisrelated gene expression as previously described with some modifications [16, 17]. HGF and PDL cells were seeded in 6-well plates with 2 mL of culture medium. After one day of incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO₂, BPA solutions (0, 0.001, 0.01 ppm) were added to plates for 6 h. Cell pellets were acquired after the dissociation of the cell suspensions using a 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution (Gibco). RNA was isolated and converted to complementary DNA using reverse transcriptase



FIGURE 1. Illustration of the methodology. Bisphenol A (BPA) was diluted with distilled water (DW). BPA solutions with 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 ppm were evaluated using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS). The retention time of BPA was determined to be 8.16 min. BPA quantification was performed by constructing a 4-point standard curve with BPA concentrations. Four groups of identical cuboidals were made (NextDent C&B MFH, DIOnavi-P.MAX, DIOnavi-Denture02, and Filtek Z250). To simulate BPA release, 7 cubiodals were placed in a conical tube with 8 mL of DW. Three conical tubes were allocated to each group. Finally, LC/MS was used to assess BPA release.

(SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). PCR conditions were set up at 60 °C annealing with 2X Power SYBR Green Master Mix (AB) reagent. RT-PCR was performed using the StepOnePlus RT-PCR system and measured by SYBR green fluorescence using Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) under the following conditions: 15 min denaturation at 95 °C, followed by 40 amplification cycles of denaturation for 15 s at 95 °C, and annealing for 30 s at 59 °C. Complementary DNA levels were normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) levels using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. The primers were selected as described in previous studies [18, 19]; *B-cell lymphoma 2* (*BCL2*), *BCL2 associated X* (*BAX*), *Capspase8* (*CASP8*), and *GADPH* (as a housekeeping gene).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Gene expression data with BPA solutions were statistically analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Mann-Whitney test as a post hoc test ($\alpha = 0.017$), in SPSS software (version 21.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was indicated at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1 Identification of BPA from 3D-printed resin materials

The release of BPA was only identified from Filtek Z250 samples on both one and seven days ($12.16 \pm 1.96 \text{ ng/mL}$ and $12.92 \pm 1.68 \text{ ng/mL}$ on days one and seven, respectively). BPA release was not observed in NextDent C&B MFH, DIOnavi-P. MAX, and DIOnavi-Denture02, respectively (Table 2). Fig. 2 shows representative images of the LC/MS analysis of each group on day seven.

TABLE	2.	Identification	of]	Bisphenol A	from	the
		3D-printed res	in r	naterials.		

Product	Day	BPA release (ng/mL)
NextDent C&B MFH (Micro Filled Hybrid)	1	ND
NextDent C&B MFH (Micro Filled Hybrid)	7	ND
DIOnavi-P. MAX	1	ND
DIOnavi-P. MAX	7	ND
DIOnavi-Denture02	1	ND
DIOnavi-Denture02	7	ND
Filtek Z250	1	12.16 ± 1.96
Filtek Z250	7	12.92 ± 1.68

ND: Not detectable; BPA: Bisphenol A.



FIGURE 2. LC/MC chromatogram images of 3D-printed resin materials. (a) NextDent C&B MFH (Micro Filled Hybrid) at day seven, (b) DIOnavi-P. MAX at day seven, (c) DIOnavi-Denture02 at day seven. Note that no peak is visible (black arrows). (d) Filtek Z250 at day seven. Note that the peak is visible (a red arrow). (e) Identification of BPA. BPA release from Filtek Z250 at day seven based on the predetermined retention time (8.16 min) of BPA.

3.2 BPA-related cell toxicity and apoptosis-related gene expression

Fig. 3 shows the BPA-related toxicity in HGF and PDL cells. The cell viability generally decreased in a time-dependent manner.

Regarding apoptosis-related genes, only CASP10 expression in PDL cells was different among the BPA solutions (p < 0.17). There were no significant differences in *BCL2*, *BAX* or *CASP8* gene expression in PDL cells (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 shows the expression of apoptosis-related genes in HGF. In HGF, *BCL2*, *BAX*, and *CASP8* expression appeared to increase in a dose-dependent manner; however, only *BAX* and *CASP8* expression differed significantly in a dose-dependent manner (p < 0.017).

4. Discussion

Dental caries is one of the most common infectious diseases in humans and is treated by removing necrotic dental tissue and replacing it with restorative material. Because this restorative material will be in contact with dental and surrounding tissues for a long time, determining the biocompatibility of dental materials is of great importance. To date, a number of new dental materials have been developed, and their biocompatibility has been evaluated using either *in vivo* or *in vitro* tests [20– 22]. However, new biological problems have arisen with the use of novel dental materials. One of the problems is healthrelated issues about the intraoral release of BPA molecules from resin-based dental materials [5]. In this regard, this study assessed the biocompatibility of newly developed 3D-printed resin materials in terms of BPA release.

The results of this study confirmed that BPA release was not detected in the 3D-printed resin but was detected in the conventional composite resin. Thus, the null hypothesis that there is no difference between BPA levels released from commercially available 3D-printed resin materials and composite resins was rejected. This finding corresponded to those of previous studies [23, 24]. Conventional composite resins are mainly composed of Bis-GMA and other dimethacrylate monomers, fillers, and photoinitiators [25]. Resin monomers such as Bis-GMA may cause genotoxicity and cytotoxicity by modulating cytokine release and cell apoptosis/necrosis [25]. Bis-GMA-based materials are also used in 3D-printed resins [1]. One possible explanation can be the difference in the degree of conversion after curing between the 3D-printed and composite resins. Previous studies evaluating the degree of conversion of 3D-printed resins have shown that the degree of conversion of 3D-printed resin ranges from 60% to 100% [26, 27]. A similar study on composite resins showed that the degree of conversion generally ranged below 50% [28]. The difference in the degree of conversion between the 3D-printed resins and composite resins appears to be due to the curing method and the number of curing cycles. Stereolithography (SLA) and digital light processing (DLP) are types of 3D printing widely used in dentistry. SLA and DLP printing are



FIGURE 3. Cell toxicity test by CCK-8 assay. (a) Human periodontal ligament cell, (b) Human gingival fibroblast. PDL: periodontal ligament; HGF: human gingival fibroblasts.



FIGURE 4. Apoptosis-related gene expression from PDL cells. (a) *B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2)*, (b) *BCL2 associated X (BAX)*, (c) *Caspase8 (CASP8)*, (d) *Caspase10 (CASP10)*. The different upper case letters represent a statistically significant difference (p < 0.017).



FIGURE 5. Apoptosis-related gene expression from HGF. (a) *B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2)*, (b) *BCL2 associated X (BAX)*, (c) *Caspase8 (CASP8)*, (d) *Caspase10 (CASP10)*. The different upper case letters represent a statistically significant difference (p < 0.017).

additive manufacturing technologies that consist of building platforms, ultraviolet (UV) light sources, and resin tanks [29, 30]. They work on the same principle, but the printing speed is different owing to the difference in the light irradiation range. On the platform, a layer of liquid resin is selectively cured by UV light, and the liquid resin is converted to a solid resin. Solid resin is then used as the platform for the next liquid layer to be cured. This process allows the monomer resin to be polymerized layer by layer [29, 31]. Furthermore, 3D-printed resin dental prostheses generally undergo additional curing in separate curing machines by optimizing the temperature, according to the manufacturer's instructions. In contrast, conventional resins are usually cured after all the shapes are formed. Even with the incremental technique of composite resins, the number of polymerizations is inevitably lower than that of SLA. Another possible explanation may be the percentage volume difference in the Bis-GMA component

In vitro assay was performed to further study BPA-related biological responses in HGF and PDL cells. Lower cell proliferation was observed in the BPA groups than in the control group in both HGF and PDL cells over time. Previous studies have confirmed that BPA exerts a cytotoxic effect on HGF [32]. This finding implies that the 3D-printed resins used in this study may be free of BPA-related cytotoxicity in the oral cavity.

between the materials. However, further studies are needed in

HGF and PDL cells are the major cellular components of intraoral tissues and are essential for tissue regeneration and the preservation of tissue integrity [33]. However, the mechanisms by which BPA induces apoptosis in HGF and PDL cells by BPA have not yet been clearly elucidated. In this study, BPA exposure influenced apoptosis-related gene expression of both HGF and PDL cells. HGF exposed to 0.001 ppm BPA showed significantly higher apoptosis-related gene expression. BPA increased BAX and CASP8 expression in a dose-dependent manner, consistent with previous studies [34, 35]. Another study confirmed that BPA induces apoptosis in HGF via caspases [32]. A previous study revealed that BPA induces apoptosis in a BAX/CASP8-dependent manner [34]. However, no significant differences were observed in BLC2 expression in this study. Cell apoptosis can be induced through either the cell surface death receptor-mediated or mitochondria-mediated pathways. In the mitochondria-mediated pathway, the balance between BCL2 and BAX is critical for cell survival or death [34]. Their actions on apoptosis are the opposite. BLC2 inhibits apoptosis by preventing cytochrome c release, whereas BAX promotes apoptosis [35]. These mechanisms are required for BPA to initiate apoptosis of HGF and PDL cells.

This study has several limitations. First, due to analytic nature of this study, clinical situations of dental resin restoration, such as polishing procedure, were not completely simulated. Polishing after curing is an important step in ensuring the longevity of resin restorations as well as removing residual resin monomers from the tooth surface, as these monomers can be eluted into the oral cavity. Next, only short-term identification of BPA release was performed even if BPA release from the 3D-printed resins was not detected. Additionally, effects of BPA from 3D-printed resins on human oral cell apoptosis were not clearly identified because BPA released from 3D printed resins was not directly exposed to the cells. Finally, only gene expression levels were evaluated. Confirmation of the protein levels of BPA-related apoptosis is needed.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study assessed BPA release from commercially available 3D-printed resin materials and confirmed that BPA was not released after curing. These findings suggest that 3D-printed resin materials are free from potential BPArelated risks. Regarding human cell apoptosis-related response to BPA, BPA exposure affected cell viability and apoptosisrelated gene expression of HGF and PDL cells. This finding highlights the potential BPA-related risks to human oral cells.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data are contained within this article (and supplementary material).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SWK, HL and OHN—designed the research study. YSJ, STR and OHN—performed the research. SWK, HL, YKC, KEL, H-SL, KHK, SKK and SCC—provided help and advice on the research. JSL—contributed the resources. YSJ and OHN wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital, Seoul, Korea (KH-DT22002).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Not applicable.

FUNDING

This research was supported by a grant from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (No. HI20C2114) and supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2020R1C1C1006937).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

this field.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tian Y, Chen C, Xu X, Wang J, Hou X, Li K, *et al.* A review of 3D printing in dentistry: technologies, affecting factors, and applications. Scanning. 2021; 2021: 9950131.
- ^[2] Kim N, Kim H, Kim IH, Lee J, Lee KE, Lee HS, *et al.* Novel 3D printed resin crowns for primary molars: *in vitro* study of fracture resistance, biaxial flexural strength, and dynamic mechanical analysis. Children. 2022; 9: 1445.
- [3] Al-Halabi MN, Bshara N, Nassar JA, Comisi JC, Alawa L. Comparative assessment of novel 3D printed resin crowns versus direct celluloid crowns in restoring pulp treated primary molars. Journal of Evidence-Based Dental Practice. 2022; 22: 101664.
- [4] Azimi P, Zhao D, Pouzet C, Crain NE, Stephens B. Emissions of ultrafine particles and volatile organic compounds from commercially available desktop three-dimensional printers with multiple filaments. Environmental Science & Technology. 2016; 50: 1260–1268.
- [5] Mikołajewska K, Stragierowicz J, Gromadzińska J. Bisphenol A application, sources of exposure and potential risks in infants, children and pregnant women. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health. 2015; 28: 209–241.
- [6] Zulkifli S, Rahman AA, Kadir SHSA, Nor NSM. Bisphenol A and its effects on the systemic organs of children. European Journal of Pediatrics. 2021; 180: 3111–3127.
- [7] Melzer D, Rice NE, Lewis C, Henley WE, Galloway TS. Association of urinary bisphenol A concentration with heart disease: evidence from NHANES 2003/06. PLoS One. 2010; 5: e8673.
- [8] Braun JM, Hauser R. Bisphenol A and children's health. Current Opinion in Pediatrics. 2011; 23: 233–239.
- [9] Braun JM, Yolton K, Dietrich KN, Hornung R, Ye X, Calafat AM, et al. Prenatal bisphenol A exposure and early childhood behavior. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2009; 117: 1945–1952.
- ^[10] Vandenberg LN, Hauser R, Marcus M, Olea N, Welshons WV. Human exposure to bisphenol A (BPA). Reproductive Toxicology. 2007; 24: 139–177.
- [11] FUNG EYK, EWOLDSEN NO, ST. GERMAIN HA, MARX DB, MIAW C, SIEW C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of bisphenol A released from a dental sealant. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 2000; 131: 51– 58.
- ^[12] Van Landuyt KL, Nawrot T, Geebelen B, De Munck J, Snauwaert J, Yoshihara K, *et al.* How much do resin-based dental materials release? A meta-analytical approach. Dental Materials. 2011; 27: 723–747.
- [13] Becher R, Wellendorf H, Sakhi AK, Samuelsen JT, Thomsen C, Bølling AK, et al. Presence and leaching of bisphenol A (BPA) from dental materials. Acta Biomaterialia Odontologica Scandinavica. 2018; 4: 56– 62.
- [14] Deviot M, Lachaise I, Högg C, Durner J, Reichl F, Attal J, et al. Bisphenol A release from an orthodontic resin composite: a GC/MS and LC/MS study. Dental Materials. 2018; 34: 341–354.
- [15] Wu X, Dai S, Chen Y, He F, Xie H, Chen C. Reinforcement of dental resin composite *via* zirconium hydroxide coating and phosphate ester monomer conditioning of nano-zirconia fillers. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials. 2019; 94: 32–41.
- [16] Alamri A, Semlali A, Jacques É, Alanazi M, Zakrzewski A, Chmielewski W, et al. Long-term exposure of human gingival fibroblasts to cigarette smoke condensate reduces cell growth by modulating Bax, caspase-3 and p53 expression. Journal of Periodontal Research. 2015; 50: 423–433.
- [17] Nam OH, Ro ST, Lee HW, Jeong J, Chae YK, Lee KE, et al. Evaluation of delphinidin as a storage medium for avulsed teeth. BMC Oral Health. 2023; 23: 21.
- [18] Huang F, Chang Y, Lee S, Ho Y, Yang M, Lin H, et al. Bisphenol A exhibits cytotoxic or genotoxic potential via oxidative stress-associated mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in murine macrophages. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2018; 122: 215–224.
- [19] Kang SW, Park HJ, Ban JY, Chung JH, Chun GS, Cho JO. Effects of nicotine on apoptosis in human gingival fibroblasts. Archives of Oral Biology. 2011; 56: 1091–1097.
- ^[20] Wuersching SN, Hickel R, Edelhoff D, Kollmuss M. Initial biocom-

patibility of novel resins for 3D printed fixed dental prostheses. Dental Materials. 2022; 38: 1587–1597.

- [21] Guerrero-Gironés J, López-García S, Pecci-Lloret MR, Pecci-Lloret MP, Rodríguez Lozano FJ, García-Bernal D. *In vitro* biocompatibility testing of 3D printing and conventional resins for occlusal devices. Journal of Dentistry. 2022; 123: 104163.
- ^[22] Tomás-Catalá CJ, Collado-González M, García-Bernal D, Oñate-Sánchez RE, Forner L, Llena C, *et al.* Biocompatibility of new pulp-capping materials NeoMTA plus, MTA repair HP, and biodentine on human dental pulp stem cells. Journal of Endodontics. 2018; 44: 126–132.
- ^[23] Wei X, Pan Y, Wang M, Wang Y, Lin H, Jiang L, *et al.* Comparative analysis of leaching residual monomer and biological effects of four types of conventional and CAD/CAM dental polymers: an *in vitro* study. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2022; 26: 2887–2898.
- [24] Mourouzis P, Diamantopoulou E, Plastiras O, Samanidou V, Tolidis K. Elution of monomers from CAD-CAM materials and conventional resin composite in distilled water and artificial saliva. Operative Dentistry. 2022; 47: E241–E252.
- [25] He J, Kopperud HM. Preparation and characterization of Bis-GMA-free dental composites with dimethacrylate monomer derived from 9,9-Bis[4-(2-hydroxyethoxy)phenyl]fluorene. Dental Materials. 2018; 34: 1003– 1013.
- ^[26] Jin G, Gu H, Jang M, Bayarsaikhan E, Lim J, Shim J, *et al.* Influence of postwashing process on the elution of residual monomers, degree of conversion, and mechanical properties of a 3D printed crown and bridge materials. Dental Materials. 2022; 38: 1812–1825.
- [27] Bayarsaikhan E, Lim J-H, Shin S-H, Park KH, Park YB, Lee JH, et al. Effects of postcuring temperature on the mechanical properties and biocompatibility of three-dimensional printed dental resin material. Polymers. 2021; 13: 1180.
- [28] Labrie D, Price RB, Sullivan B, Salazar AM, Gautam D, Stansbury JW, et al. Effect of thickness on the degree of conversion of two bulk-fill and one conventional posterior resin-based composites at high irradiance and high temporal resolution. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials. 2022; 136: 105489.
- [29] Groth C, Kravitz ND, Jones PE, Graham JW, Redmond WR. Threedimensional printing technology. Journal of Clinical Orthodontics. 2014; 48: 475–485.
- [30] Zhang J, Hu Q, Wang S, Tao J, Gou M. Digital light processing based three-dimensional printing for medical applications. International Journal of Bioprinting. 2020; 6: 242.
- [31] Manapat JZ, Chen Q, Ye P, Advincula RC. 3D printing of polymer nanocomposites via stereolithography. Macromolecular Materials and Engineering. 2017; 302: 1600553.
- [32] Yang M. The effects of cytotoxicity and genotoxicity induced by 2,2bis[4-(acryloxypropoxy)phenyl]propane via caspases in human gingival fibroblasts. Toxicology and Industrial Health. 2014; 30: 755–764.
- [33] Lai YL, Chen YT, Lee SY, Shieh TM, Hung SL. Cytotoxic effects of dental resin liquids on primary gingival fibroblasts and periodontal ligament cells *in vitro*. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2004; 31: 1165– 1172.
- [34] Fehlberg S, Gregel CM, Göke A, Göke R. Bisphenol A diglycidyl etherinduced apoptosis involves Bax/Bid-dependent mitochondrial release of apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF), cytochrome c and Smac/DIABLO. British Journal of Pharmacology. 2003; 139: 495–500.
- [35] Xu J, Osuga Y, Yano T, Morita Y, Tang X, Fujiwara T, et al. Bisphenol A induces apoptosis and G2-to-M arrest of ovarian granulosa cells. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 2002; 292: 456–462.

How to cite this article: Yun Sun Jung, Sang Tae Ro, Sang Wook Kang, Hyeonjong Lee, Jang Sun Lee, Yong Kwon Chae, *et al.* Bisphenol A release from commercially available 3-dimensionally printed resins and human cell apoptosis to bisphenol A: an *in-vitro* study. Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 2023; 47(3): 89-95. doi: 10.22514/jocpd.2023.027.