

Genetics of cleft lip and palate revisited

Puneet Batra* / Ritu Duggal** / Hari Parkash***

Cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CL/CP) is one of the most common structural birth defects, with treatment including multiple surgeries, speech therapy, and dental and orthodontic treatments over the first 18 years of life. Providing care for these patients and families includes educating patients and parents about the genetics of CL/CP, as well as meeting the immediate medical needs. Attempts at identifying susceptibility loci via family and case-control studies have proved inconsistent. It is likely that initial predictions of the complex interactions involved in facial development were underestimated. The candidate gene list for CL/P is getting longer and the need for an impartial, systematic screening technique, to implicate or refute the inclusion of particular loci, is apparent. So we are faced with the question "Can this complex trait be too complex?" The aim of this review is to make the dentist aware of the differences between syndromic and non-syndromic cleft as well as understanding the etiological variation in cleft lip with and without cleft palate. This will aid the dentist in diagnosis and give proper genetic counseling to parents and patients of cleft lip and palate.

J Clin Pediatr Dent 27(4): 311-320, 2003

INTRODUCTION

Cleft lip (CL) and cleft palate (CP) are the single most common defects affecting the oral facial structures in man and as such, has been the subject of considerable investigations, both in terms of etiology and as a model for the understanding of other less common morphologic defects. Cleft lip and cleft palate are extremely disfiguring and particularly in the child, affect function to a considerable extent. Genetic factors undoubtedly play a role in the etiology of CL and CP. The dentist can assess from a good family history the possible extent to which genetic factors are involved in the etiology of CL + CP in a given proband, and provide genetic counseling.

Why does the mode of inheritance of CLP still remains controversial?

Although the mode of inheritance of CLP has been investigated for many years, the results appear to be controversial. The discrepancy is probably due both to the sample and the models employed. Usually, samples

comprising of families from different racial and ethnic backgrounds were clubbed together. Also studies based on different cultural background could be biased. As it is CLP investigations are limited:

- Small pedigrees are usually available.
- This malformation exhibits genetic heterogeneity.
- Low penetrance leading to reduced number of affected individuals in the pedigree.
- Environmental factors may play a role in the onset of the malformation (have a different impact even in homogenous population groups).

Syndromic vs nonsyndromic cleft

CL±CP can be syndromic or nonsyndromic. More than 150 disease syndromes have been identified where cleft of the lip and palate may be an associated congenital defect.¹ A cleft is syndromic,² if the patient has more than one malformation involving more than one developmental field. Table I gives list of some major syndromes associated with cleft lip and / or palate.

It is nonsyndromic if there is only a single malformation, if there are multiple anomalies that are the result of a single initiating event or primary malformation or if multiple anomalies are limited to a single developmental field.

Previous estimates suggest that posterior CP is associated with other congenital anomalies in 13-50% of the cases, whereas, CL+P is syndromic in 2-13% of the cases.³ However, Bixier found 1% of CL±P and 8% of CP cases as syndromic.⁴ Although syndromic clefts comprise only a minority of all facial clefts, syndrome identification is extremely important because of the need for accurate counseling and the burden imposed on patients and the families.

* Puneet Batra, MDS (Orthodontics), Senior Resident, Division of Orthodontics, Department of Dental Surgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.

** Ritu Duggal, MDS (Orthodontics), Associate Professor, Division of Orthodontics, Department of Dental Surgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.

*** Hari Parkash, MDS, FIMFT, FICD, MNAMS, FACD, Professor and Head, Department of Dental Surgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.

Send all correspondence to Dr. Ritu Duggal, Associate Professor, Department of Dental Surgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.

Voice: - 011-6593231,

Syndromic Clefting

The known causes of syndromic clefting include the following:

Chromosomal abnormalities

Human autosomal anomalies are usually characterized by prenatal or postnatal growth failure, mental retardation and multiple dysmorphic features that indicate a widespread disturbance of early morphogenesis. There are major malformations in addition to minor anomalies therefore patients with a facial cleft and other anomalies involving more than one developmental field should have a chromosomal analysis if the pattern of anomalies is not diagnostic of a well defined syndrome, not known to be due to a chromosomal abnormality.

Single gene disorders

To determine whether a clefting syndrome is caused by a single gene mutation, one must analyze the family pedigrees of affected individuals. Vertical transmission of the syndrome, (from generation to generation) suggests dominant inheritance. Parental consanguinity and affected siblings suggests autosomal recessive inheritance and expression in males related through unaffected females suggests X-linked inheritance.

Teratogenic syndromes

Human teratogens have been delineated because they cause a recognizable pattern of anomalies. In humans we associate teratogens with a syndrome or more precisely with one or more disruptions or disruption sequences. Counseling may be difficult because of parental guilt that is associated. Recurrence risk will be based on the likelihood of exposure to the teratogen during future pregnancies. Specific drugs are known to have a teratogenic effect on facial development like phenytoin⁵ and retenoic acid derivatives.⁶ Cigarette smoking^{7,8} and alcohol uses⁹ have associations with clefting. Preliminary data shows that periconceptional vitamin use may decrease the recurrence of clefting in families, which also supports environmental influences.¹⁰ Perhaps with relative vitamins deficiencies may be contributing occurring on specific genotypic backgrounds.¹¹

Syndromic clefting with unknown cause

If a patient has a facial cleft plus other malformations in different morphogenetic fields it is still appropriate to call this a syndrome even though there is no identifiable chromosomal abnormality, Mendelian inheritance pattern or teratogenic exposure e.g., mandibular - limb hypogenesis syndrome and the de Lange syndrome. One should keep in mind the likelihood of etiological heterogeneity when evaluating such patients. It is possible that some of these sporadic disorders are actually caused by new dominant mutations, currently undetectable chromosomal deletion and unidentified teratogens.

Table I. Some major syndromes associated with cleft lip and/or palate

Autosomal dominant

Van der Woude syndrome (lip pits with cleft lip/palate)
EEC syndrome (ectrodactyl, ectodermal dysplasia and clefting)
Hereditary artho-ophthalmopathy (Stickler syndrome)
Larsen syndrome (originally thought to be recessive)
Retinal detachment, myopia and cleft palate (Marshall syndrome)
Sondyloepiphyseal dysplasia congenital

Autosomal recessive

Chondrodysplasia punctata (Conradi syndrome)
Diastrophic dwarfism
Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome
Meckel syndrome
Orofaciodigital syndrome, type II
Fryns syndrome (with diaphragmatic hernia, limb and facial anomalies)
Roberts syndrome
Velocardiofacial (Shprintzen) syndrome

X-linked

Orofaciodigital syndrome, type I (dominant, lethal in male)
Otopalatodigital syndrome
Isolated X-linked cleft palate with ankyloglossia

Chromosomal

Trisomy 13
Trisomy 18
Chromosome 18 deletions
Various other autosomal abnormalities

Non-medelian

Peirre Robin sequence
Clefting with congenital heart disease
De Lange syndrome

Nonsyndromic clefting

Like many other isolated birth defects is sometimes familial, but the pattern of affected individuals seen in families usually is not consistent with Mendelian inheritance. To explain the observed pedigrees, mathematic models have been proposed that assume a continuous distribution of liability in the general population and others hold point in that liability distribution beyond which individuals are affected. Observations in human populations have tended to support these hypotheses. As a result isolated clefting is commonly viewed as "multifactorial" or "polygenic". The latter term meaning in a strict sense, that genes with small additive effect, provide the underlying genetic predisposition to clefting. Table II summarizes the popular theories, which have been propagated to explain non-syndromal clefting.

Mapping the cleft-lip genes (nonsyndromic)

Several genes are already known to be associated with CL/P and CP. There are various gene-mapping studies for CL/P, but none have shown a clear association. Table III gives summary of these studies.

Multifactorial concept - quasi continuous variants

A multifactorial trait is determined by interaction of a

Table II. Various concepts proposed to explain the genetics of Cleft lip and palate

Author (year)	Proposed Concept	Observations
#Fogh-Andersen (1942) ¹²	Proposed every imaginable mode of inheritance -Dominance with reduced penetrance -Partial dominance (homozygous more effected) -Double or polyploid recessivity -Partial sex linkage - "Polymeric recessivity"	The "Polymeric recessivity" concept was highly imaginative 2 factors from upper lip (left & right) & 2 from the hard palate
#Fraser (1957) ¹³	Concept of liability Concept of Developmental threshold	The stage at which palatal shelf moved from vertical to horizontal prefusion Maximum amount of delay compatible with closure
#Carter (1969) Fraser (70,89) ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ #Morton (1970) ¹⁸ Elston & Stewart (1971), ¹⁹ Morton & Maclean (1974) ²⁰ Lolovel & Morton (1981) ²¹ #Bixler (1973) ²²	Multifactorial threshold model Complex Segregational analysis involving a combination of a major gene, a polygenic contribution, sporadic (nonrecurrent) cases a familial environmental component -Dominant gene (allelic restriction) -Neither multifactorial threshold nor single major gene model -Major gene or full mixed model plus a large admixture of sporadic cases caused by polygenic inheritance or environmental agents	Melnick et al ¹⁷ dissented this view Major means a big enough effect to be detectable. Supported by Melnick Melnick supports it Supported by Marazita et al ²³
#Transler & Fraser (1977) ²⁴	Multifactorial inheritance	Discussed shelf movement influence by genes and environment Map location of the gene is elusive
#Biddle, Fraser, Juriloff (1986) ²⁵ #Chung (1986) Marazita (1992) DePalpe (1989) Ray (1993) Temple (1989) Hecht (1990) ²⁶⁻³⁰ #Ardinger (1989) ³¹	Major gene with low penetrance (5%) and modified by maternal genes Single major gene - autosomal recessive	But always incomplete penetrance
#Qian (1992) ³² (1993) ³⁴	Significant association exists b/w clefting and 2 restriction fragment length polymorphs (RFLPs Taq1 and Bam H1) of transforming growth factor alpha TGF α may be a modifier gene not a major gene plays a role in development of bilateral clefting Found excess frequency of Bam H L A 1 allele in BCL/P only	Vintinger ³³ observed no evidence of linkage of TGF α
#Mitchell & Risch (1992) ³⁵	Compatible with either multifactorial threshold trait or with Oligogenic model	Farral & Holder ³⁶ supported the Oligogenic model (predicted that 4-7 different genes cause clefting)
#Murray et al (1995) ³⁷	CL \pm P is heterogeneous & different chromosome regions such as 2p, 4q, 6p, 17q, 19q have been claimed to contain the clefting locus.	
#Clements et al (1995) ³⁸	Two locus model i.e. a major dominant locus & modifier locus	Pezzetti et al ³⁹ proposed an interactive effect between loci mapping in 6P23 & 2P13
#Pirinan (1998) ⁴⁰	Genes MSX 1 and TGFB 3 with a gene linkage disequilibrium (LD) strategy	IOWA study initiated to extend search for non-coding parts of MS X 1

number of genes at different loci each with a small but additive effect together with environmental factors (i.e. genes are rendering the individual unduly susceptible to environmental agents). The final shapes of most of the oral facial structures in common with other morphologic structures in the body, are regulated by multiple genes rather than by a single gene.⁷³

Characteristics of polygenic inheritance are as follows:

1). Gene segregation occurs at an indefinitely large number of genetic loci. 2). Mutation of one or two genes for a polygenically controlled trait produces little effect on the over all manifestation of that trait. 3). Phenotypic expression of a polygenic trait can be similar with the

large variety of subtly different genotypes. 4). Phenotypic expression of polygenic traits is not only affected by gene alteration but also is susceptible to significant modification by the environment; polygenic traits are thus characterized by gene-environmental interaction. 5). Occasionally, polygenes can act as a "polygenic block" and exhibit a single gene inheritance pattern, but can be expressed as many phenotypic characters. This may be the case with some of the multifactorial syndromes.⁷⁴

If we apply these principles to CL and CP we can postulate that palatal shelf length e.g. is polygenic and is continuously variable from very long to very short in relation, say to the width of the maxilla. With a given

Table III. Various linkage & association studies for causation of CL±P

Chromosome	Author (year)	Observations
CHROMOSOME 2	*Arlinger et al (1989) ³¹	Linkage between CL±P & RFLPs (restriction fragment length polymorphs). At TGF α location on short arm of chromosome 2 region 2p13 (OFC2).
	*Hecht et al (1991a) ⁴¹	Confirmed by Pezzetti et al (1998) ³⁹ Did not find linkage to support the role of TGF α in early stages of CL±P development. Wyszynski et al (1997) ⁴²
	*Chenevix-Trench (1991,92) ⁴⁴⁻⁴⁵	Vintiner et al (1992) ³³ & Field et al (1994) ⁴³ confirmed the same. Association with specific C2 allele of the TGF α locus Taq 1 polymorphism.
	*Farral et al (1993) ³⁶ & Feng et al (1994) ⁵⁰	Also confirmed by Holder et al (1992) ⁴⁶ & Sassani et al (1993) ⁴⁷ . Refuted by Stoll et al (1993) ⁴⁸ & Jara et al (1995) ⁴⁹
	*Jara et al (1995) ⁴⁹ & Mitchell (1997) ⁵¹	Positive linkage disequilibrium with the C2 allele (family based association study) Association with BamHI allele. Confirmed the association of CL±P & TGF α using a meta analysis study.
CHROMOSOME 4	*Beiraghi et al (1994) ⁵³	Shaw et al (1996) ⁵² had earlier detected no allelic association Evidence of linkage between cleft & markers on long arm of chromosome 4
	*Mitchell et al (1995) ⁵⁴	Evidence of a locus in region 4q25-4q31.3 Refuted later on by Blanton et al (1996)
CHROMOSOME 6	*Eiberg et al (1987) ⁵⁵	Linkage to 6p24 at F13A locus. However his claims have been refuted by Heeth et al (1993) ⁵⁶ & Blanton et al (1996) ⁵⁷
	*Korman-Bortolotto et al (1990) ⁵⁸ & Donnal et al (1992) ⁵⁹	CL±P associated with chromosomal aberrations involving short arm of chromosome 6p
	*Mehra & Verma (1991) ⁶⁰	The HLA locus is 6p21.3. This was earlier refuted by Van Dyke et al (1980) ⁶¹ & Watanable et al (1984) ⁶² .
	*Davies et al (1995) ⁶³	3 cases with chromosomal abnormalities (2 balanced Translocations & 1 deletion). Analyzed Yeast clones & Localized the clefting locus within the 6p24.3 region
	*Carinci et al (1995) ⁶⁴	Evidence of genetic heterogeneity & linkage to 6p23 region Confirmed by Scapoli et al (1997) ⁶⁵
CHROMOSOME 2 & 6	*Murray et al (1993) ³⁷	Implicated a primary role for OFCI on 6p & OFC2 on 2p13 is a modifier of the clefting status
	*Pezzetti et al (1998) ³⁹	Proposed an interactive effect involving two disease loci mapping in 6p23 & 2p13
CHROMOSOME 17	*Chenevix-Trench (1992) ⁴⁵	Significant difference between non-syndromic cleft cases & Unrelated controls a the retinoic acid receptor α (RARA) Pst I RFLP located at 17q21.1 Confirmed by Shaw et al (1993) ⁶⁶
	*Vinitner et al (1993) ⁶⁷	They found a significant difference in D17S579 (micro satellite marker close to RARA) Found no linkage between RARA and clefting also confirmed by Stein et al (1995) ⁶⁸ .
CHROMOSOME 19	*Stein et al (1993) ⁶⁹ & Amos et al (1996a) ⁷⁰	Found linkage with BCL3 a proto oncogene mapping in 19q13.2 Evidence of disequilibrium between BCL3 alleles and cleft. Confirmed by Wyszynski et al (1997b) ⁷¹
	*Martinelli et al (1998) ⁷²	Found linkage with D19S574 a polymorphic markertightly linked to the BCL3 gene

maxillary width, progressively shorter palatal shelves will show increased difficulty in coming to a point of contact for fusion during development and at a given threshold level, they will be too short to allow fusion and a cleft palate will result. This kind of variation could also occur for maxillary width, tongue size and palatal height, all of which are probably polygenic, and it is probable that all these factors contribute to result in failure of palatal closure. This type of theoretic model for genetic involvement in CL and CP is usually referred to as “quasi-continuous” because the manifestation of the defect is all or none in its expression (i.e.) present or

absent.⁷⁵ The defect is presumably present only when enough genes are present in an individual to give rise to a phenotypic expression of say “short” palatal shelves or “wide” maxilla, and so forth, such that the threshold for non fusion is reached and the defect results.

The differences in severity of clefts are good indicators of the phenotypic expression of continuous variation in a trait such that progressively shorter and shorter palatal shelves produce more severe defects. Environmental factors effective in prenatal development also affect gene expression and the production of the defect. Thus a complex interaction of genes and environment and even

Table IV. Sequence of events for diagnosis & genetic counseling

<h2>Evaluation</h2> <p>Gestational history: for possible teratogens (Exposure to anticonvulsant, Alcohol, Steroids, Hyperthermia in first trimester of pregnancy)</p> <p>Family history: for any relatives with similar or different congenital anomalies or developmental abnormalities.</p>	<p>Microforms: Presence of lip pit as in Van der Woude Syndrome⁸⁷ and autosomal dominant form of CL (P) may be absent or subtle in the new born but diagnostic when examining the parent. A clear uvula, submucous cleft of soft palate or velopharyngeal incompetence may have important implication for genetic counseling.</p>
<h2>Physical examination:</h2> <p>⇒ Clearly describe all anatomic variation from normal (take photographs) including: Major anomalies / Minor anomalies</p> <p>⇒ Are these →a) Malformations? →b) Disruptions? →c) Deformations?</p> <p>⇒ Are these anomalies?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All part of the same developmental field →(single anomaly, nonsyndromic)? All related to one primary defect or event →(sequence, nonsyndromic)? In more than one developmental field →(syndrome)? 	
<h2>Other Investigations indicated:</h2> <p>⇒ Chromosomal analysis if patient had syndrome (that is multiple anomalies in more than one developmental (field) that does not have a well defined etiologically.</p> <p>⇒ Others as suggested by the patient's phenotype e.g. Skeletal radiographs for short stature, Craniofacial radiographs for other anomalies of the skull or face and an ophthalmologic Examination if stickler syndrome is a possibility. CNS diagnosing in cases of suspected Holoprosencephaly.</p> <p>⇒ As cardiac anomalies are associated with 20% of clefting cases cardiac evaluation may be useful.</p> <p>⇒ Facial clefts can be diagnosed by visualization fetoscopy in utero⁸⁸. This was recommended in case of high-risk families Where risk is more than 10%. This technique is associated with fetal loss of 4-5%. CL may also be diagnosed in utero by Ultrasound and presently this is the recommended technique⁸⁹. However, an expert ultrasonographer is required. Ultrasound and presently this is the recommended technique⁸⁹. However, an expert ultrasonographer is required.</p>	
<h2>Counseling</h2> <p>Counseling involves helping a patient and family to deal with having a cleft Malformation or syndrome and and to understand and deal with the risk of recurrence.</p> <p>Who should have an evaluation and genetic counseling???</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Anyone who has a syndromic cleft Anyone who has a familial cleft whether syndromic or nonsyndromic. Anyone who has questions about etiology, pathogens or recurrence risks. <p>Deformations and disruptions depend on the likelihood of the severe determining factors, (Such as a known teratogen or mechanical factor)being present in future pregnancy.</p> <p>Counseling for cleft malformations depend on whether or not the cleft is syndromic or nonsyndromic and familial or non familial.</p>	

when the optional set of genetic factors is present in a given individual, the disorder may still not result unless "something" in the environment also is present. Thus, a person at high genetic risk because of accumulation of deleterious polygenes will produce the defect in the

absence of an adverse environmental influence. Empiric risk figures take both these factors into account. Tables IV and V give the genetic risk for having a cleft lip and palate child with reference to non-identifiable syndrome and risk to siblings with reference to severity of the cleft.

Table V. Diagnosis and genetic counseling in a patient with cleft lip with or without cleft palate.

<p>A difficult cause & relation to determined. If teratogen is known – follow the patient carefully (there is likelihood of other organ system involvement. Counseling done similar to when cause is unknown. It would be reassuring if the agent is eliminated from the environment for future pregnancies.</p>	<p>Compare to previously reported syndromes; chromosomal analysis indicated if the syndrome is not a well-defined one.</p>	<p>Consider mendelian inheritance patterns, especially autosomal dominant with incomplete penetrance; empiric recurrence risk generally in the 10 to 30 percent range, depending on the family history.</p>
<p>KNOWN OR SUSPECTED TERATOGEN+</p>	<p>SYNDROMIC CLEFT+</p>	<p>FAMILY HISTORY OF FACIAL CLEFT+</p>
<p>Probably either a chromosomal abnormality or a syndrome due to a single gene mutation (mendelian); possibility of an unknown or undetected environmental agent (teratogen); not "multifactorial".</p>	<p>Be aware of the few well-defined human teratogenic syndromes. It may be impossible to determine a cause-and-effect relationship. However, data should be recorded for future use. Work-up as for syndromic clefts without an obvious teratogenic exposure.</p>	<p>It is unlikely that nonsyndromic clefts would be caused by a teratogen in multiple family members because that would require brief exposure at the same time in gestation; evaluate and counsel as familial nonsyndromic cleft below.</p>
<p>SYNDROMIC CLEFT+ & FAMILY HISTORY OF CLEFT+</p>	<p>KNOWN/SUSPECTED TERATOGEN+ & FAMILY HISTORY OF CLEFT+</p>	<p>KNOWN/SUSPECTED TERATOGEN+ & SYNDROMIC CLEFT+</p>
<p>Teratogenic syndromes may be familial if more than one pregnant woman is exposed to the teratogen (for example, fetal alcohol exposure can be familial).</p>	<p>Possibly caused by a combination of unknown genetic or environmental factors or both that are unlikely to recur in future pregnancies; actual risk may be less than the average empiric recurrence risk of 3 to 5 percent.</p>	
<p>KNOWN/SUSPECTED TERATOGEN+ FAMILY HISTORY OF CLEFT+ & SYNDROMAL CLEFT+</p>	<p>UNKNOWN ETIOLOGY</p>	

Downloaded from http://meridian.allenpress.com/jcpd/article-pdf/27/4/311/1746244/jcpd_27_4_k7j3628944237392.pdf by Bharati Vidyapeeth Dental College & Hospital user on 25 June 2022

Table VIa: Cleft lip and palate - genetic risk in the absence of a defined syndrome or mendelian pattern

Relationship to index	Cleft lip + palate (%)	Isolated cleft palate (%)
Sibs (overall risk)	4.0	1.8
Sib (no other affected members)	2.2	
Sib (2 affected sibs)	10	8
Sib and affected parent	10	
Children	4.3	3
Second-degree relatives	0.6	
Third-degree relatives	0.3	
General population	0.1	0.04

Genetics of cleft lip with or without palate vs cleft palate only

Clefts of the human face can be classified anatomically as those involving the secondary palate only (the posterior or soft palate) or the cleft palate only (CPO) and those involving the primary palate and encompass cleft of the lip with or without the palate (CL±P).⁷⁶ This distinction is biologically relevant and is supported on embryological grounds, indeed, the primary and secondary palates are formed independently. Furthermore it is unusual to find familial CPO if the index case has CL±P and vice-versa.⁷⁵

The incidence of CL±P ranges from 1 in 700 to 1 in 1000 in Caucasians.^{75,77} The nature of genetic contribution to the etiology of non-syndromic CLIP (also called as orofacial cleft) is a matter that is still greatly studied and investigated.^{37,42} Earlier studies did not identify a Mendelian pattern of inheritance.^{14,15} The multifactorial hypothesis became popular. Recent data based on segregation analysis suggested a model of an autosomal major gene with or without multifactorial contribution.^{23,26,27,28,30} Several multi-generational studies suggested an autosomal dominant inheritance.^{29,30} Re-analysis of the recurrence patterns led to the oligogenic model.^{35,36} It has become evident that CLP is heterogeneous and different chromosomal regions have been claimed to control the clefting locus.³⁷

CPO is less frequent than CL±P has a global birth prevalence of 6.5 incidence per 10,000 live births.⁷⁸ Mode of inheritance of CPO is unclear. Several studies have demonstrated familial aggregation and found that an oligogenic model with several interacting loci fits the data the best.^{79,82} Moreover CPO involving the hard and soft palate may be etiologically distinct.^{82,83}

Recently it was shown that a recessive single major gene locus with low penetrance provided a significant best fit.³⁸ A significant association has been found between alleles of TGFA and CP0.⁸⁴ Various other studies may be found in literature, which study the mode of inheritance of CP0.^{85,86}

Table VIb: Genetic risks in cleft lip/palate: effect of severity

Anomaly	Risk to sibs (%)
Bilateral cleft lip and palate	5.7
Unilateral cleft lip and palate	4.2
Unilateral cleft lip alone	2.5

Genetic counseling

The steps involved in proper diagnosis and then going for genetic counseling is shown in Tables IV and V. The general guidelines for counseling are as:

- An affected female has a greater chance of having an affected offspring than an affected male, although both have 40 times greater risk than population risk.
- More severe the defect in the parent greater the risk for an affected offspring e.g. a parent with BCLP is more likely to have an affected offspring than a parent with UCLP.

The greatest risk is for severely affected female parent.

- A 1st degree relative has the highest risk (40 times the population incidence), 2nd degree an intermediate risk (7 times the population incidence) and 3rd degree the least risk (3 times the population incidence). The risk thus decreases rapidly with decreased degree of relationship as distinct from the single gene inheritance which merely halves the risk for a second child to be affected increases rapidly if one child is already affected. This rises to 10% for one affected child (unaffected parents) to 9% for two affected children. For an affected parent with one affected child is risk is about 10%. (Tables VIa & VIb)^{90,100}
- If the evaluation/investigations suggest a known syndromic/chromosomal or single gene entity counseling is to be done accordingly.

CONCLUSION

It is likely that initial predictions of the complex interactions involved in facial development were underestimated. The candidate gene list for CL/P is getting longer and the need for an impartial, systematic screening technique, to implicate or refute the inclusion of particular loci, is apparent. So we are faced with the question "Can this complex trait be too complex?" Understanding the genetics of CL/P will aid the dentist in diagnosis and give proper genetic counseling to parents and patients of cleft lip and palate.

REFERENCES

1. Cohen MM Jr. Syndromes associated with cleft lip and cleft palate. *Cleft palate J* 15: 306, 1978.
2. Aylsworth AS. Genetic considerations in clefts of the lip and palate - Symposium on cleft lip and cleft palate. *Clinics in Plastic Surgery*, Vol 12, No.4, October 1985.
3. Gorlin RJ, Pindborg JJ, Cohen MM Jr. *Syndromes of the head and neck*. New York, McGraw - Hill, 1976.
4. Bixler D. Genetics and clefting. *Cleft Palate J* 18: 10, 1981.
5. Hanson IW. Risk of offspring of women treated with hydantoin anticonvulsant with emphasis on the fetal hydantoin syndrome. *J Pediatr* 4: 662-668, 1989.
6. Lammer EJ, Chen DT, Hoar RM, Agnish ND, Braun JT, Curry CJ, Fenhoff PM. Retinoic acid embryopathy. *N Engl J Med* 313: 837-841, 1985.
7. Khoury MJ, Gomez-Farjal M, Mulinare J. Does maternal cigarette smoking cause cleft lip and palate Does maternal cigarette smoking cause cleft lip and palate in the offspring. *Am Dis Child* 143: 333-337, 1989.
8. Werler MM, Lammer EJ, Rosenberg L, Mitchell AA. Maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy in relation to oral clefts. *Am J Epidemiol* 132: 926-932, 1990.
9. Jones KIL, Smith DW, Ulleland CN, Streissguth AP. Pattern of malformation in offsprings of chronic alcoholic mothers. *Lancet* 1: 1267, 1973.
10. Tolarova M. Periconceptional supplementation with vitamins and folic acid to prevent recurrence of cleft lip. *Lancet* 2: 217, 1982.
11. Hartridge T, Illing HM, Sandy JR. The role of folic acid in oral clefting. *Br J Orthod* 26: 115- 120, 1999.
12. Fogh Andersen P. *Inheritance of harelip and cleft palate* Arnold Busck Copenhagen, 1942.
13. Fraser FC, Walker BE, Transler DG. Experimental production of congenital cleft palate genetic and environmental factors. *Pediatrics* 18: 782-787, 1957.
14. Caner CO. Genetics of common disorders. *Brit Med Bull* 25: 52-57, 1969.
15. Fraser FC The genetics of cleft lip and cleft palate. *Am J Hum Genet* 22: 336 - 352, 1970.
16. Fraser FC. The genetics of cleft lip and palate: yet another look. In: Pratt RN4, Christiansen RL (eds) *current trends in prenatal craniofacial development*. North - Holland, New York 1980, pp 357 - 366, 1980.
17. Melnick MID, Bixler P, Fogh Anderson, Connolly PM. Cleft lip+cleft palate: an overview of the literature and an analysis of Dutch cases born between 1941-68. *Am J Med Genetics* 6: 83-97, 1980.
18. Morton NE, Yee S, Elston RC, Lew R. Discontinuity and quasi-continuity, alternative hypothesis of multifactorial inheritance. *Clin Genet* 1: 81-94, 1970.
19. Elston RC, Stewart J. A general model for genetic analysis of pedigree data. *Hum Hered* 21: 523-542, 1971.
20. Morton NE, Maclean CJ. Analysis of family resemblance III, Complex segregation of quantitative traits. *Am J Hum Genet* 26: 489-503, 1974.
21. Lalovel SM, Morton NE. Complex segregation analysis with pointers. *Hum Hered* 31: 312 -321, 1981.
22. Bixler D. Genetic counseling in dentistry. *Dent Clin. North Am* 19: 191, 1975.
23. Marazita ML, Hu-D-N, Spence MA, Liu YE, Melnick M. Cleft lip with or without cleft palate in Shanghai, China. Evidence for an autosomal major locus. *Am J Hum Genet* 51: 648-653, 1992.
24. Transler DG, Fraser FC. Time-position relationships with particular reference to cleft lip and cleft palate. In: Wilson JG, Fraser FC (eds) *Handbook teratology*. Vol 2 Plenum, New York, pp 271 - 292, 1977.
25. Biddle FG, Fraser FC, Juriloff CI. Major gene determination of liability to spontaneous cleft lip in the mouse. *J Craniofac Genet Dev Biol (Suppl)* 2: 67-68, 1986.
26. Chung CS, Bixler D, Watanbe T, Koguchi H, Anderson F. Segregation analysis of cleft lip with or without cleft palate: a comparison of Danish and Japanese data. *Am J Hum Genet* 39: 603-611, 1986.
27. DePalpe A. Dominantly inherited cleft lip and palate letter. *J Med Genet* 26: 794, 1989.
28. Ray AK, Field LL, Marazita ML. Non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in West Bengal, India : Evidence for an autosomal major locus. *Am J Hum Genet* 52: 1006-1011, 1993.
29. Temple K, Calvert M, Plint D, Thompson B, Pembrey M. Dominantly inherited cleft lip and palate in two families. *J Med Genet* 26: 386-389, 1989.
30. Hecht JT. Dominantly inherited cleft lip and palate (letter to Editor). *J Med Genet* 27: 597-598, 1990.
31. Ardinger HH, Buetow KH, Bell GI, Bardach J, VanDemark DR, Murray JC. Association of genetic variation of the transforming growth factor - alpha gene with cleft lip and palate. *Am J Hum Genet* 45: 348-359, 1989.
32. Qian if, May E, Feingold J, Stoll C. A novel Bam Hi polymorphism for the human transforming growth factor alpha (TGF). *Nucleic Acids Res* 19: 6665, 1991.
33. Vintiner GM, Lo KK, Holder SE, Minter KM, Malcolm S. No evidence of linkage between the transforming growth factor alpha gene in families with apparent autosomal dominant inheritance of cleft lip and palate. *J Med Genetics* 29: 393-397, 1992.
34. Qian IF, Lazar-Wesley E, Breugnot C, May E. Human transforming growth factor alpha: sequence analysis of the 4.5-kb and 1.6-kb mRNA species *Gene* 132: 291-6, 1993.
35. Mitchell LE, Risch N. Mode of inheritance of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate: a reanalysis. *Am J Hum Genet* 5: 323-332, 1992.
36. Farral M and Holder S. Familial recurrence pattern analysis of cleft lip with or without palate. *Am J Hum Genetics* 50: 270-277, 1992.
37. Murray JC. Face facts; genes, environment and cleft. *Am J Hum Genetics* 57: 227-232, 1995.
38. Clementi M, Tenconi R, Collins A, Calzolari E, Milan M. Complex segregational analysis in a sample of consecutive newborns with cleft lip with or without cleft palate ; a comparison of Danish and Japanese data. *Hum Hered* 45: 157-164, 1995.
39. Pezzeti F, Scapoli L, Martinelli M, Carinci F, Bodo M, Carinci P, Tognon M. A locus in 2p13-14, in addition to that mapped in 6pZ3, is involved in non-syndromic familial orofacial cleft malformation. *Genomics* 50: 299-305, 1998.
40. Pirinen S. Genetic Craniofacial abenations. *Acta Odontol Scand* 56: 356-359, 1998.
41. Hecht JW, Wang Y, Blanton SH, Daiger SP. Cleft lip and palate; no evidence of linkage to transforming growth factor alpha. *Am J Hum Genetics* 49: 682-686, 1991.
42. Wyszynski DF, Maestri N, Lewanda AF, McIntosh I, Smith BA, Gracia- Delgado C, Vinageras-Guarneros, Wulfsberg B, Beaty TH. No evidence of linkage for cleft lip with or with out cleft palate to a marker near the transforming growth factor alpha locus in two populations. *Hum Genetics* 9: 101-109, 1997.
43. Field LL, Ray AK and Marazita ML. Transforming growth factor alpha; a modifying locus for non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate? *Eur J Hum Genetics* 2: 159-165, 1994.
44. Chenxix - Trench G, Jones K, Green A, Martin N. Finding evidence for an association between genetic variation in transforming growth factor alpha and cleft lip and palate. *Am J Hum Genet* 48: 1012-1013, 1991.
45. Chenevix Trench, Jones GK, Green AC, Du DL, Martin N. Cleft lip with or without cleft palate: association with transforming growth factor alpha and retinoic acid receptor loci. *Am J Hum Genet* 51: 1377-1385, 1989.
46. Holder SB, Vintiner GM, Farren B, Malcolm S, Winter KM. Confirmation of an association between RFLP's at the transforming growth factor alpha locus and nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. *J Med Genetics* 29: 390-92, 1992.

47. Sassani R, Bartlett SP, Hongshu F, Goldner-Sauve A, Haq Ak, Buetow KH, Gasser DL. Association b/w alleles of the transforming factor alpha locus and the occurrence of cleft lip J Med Genet 45: 565-569, 1993.
48. Stoll C, Quian IF, Feringold J, Sauvage P, May B. Genetic variation in transforming growth factor alpha: possible association of Bam HI polymorphism with bilateral sporadic cleft lip and palate. Am J Hum Genetics 92: 81-82, 1993.
49. Jara L, Blanco R, Chiffelle I, Palomino H and Carreno H. Association between alleles of the transforming growth factor alpha locus and cleft lip and cleft palate in the Chilean population. Am J Med Genet 57: 548-51, 1995.
50. FengH, Sassani R, Bartlett SP, Lee A, Hecht IT, Malcolm S, Winter RM, Vintiner GM, Buetow KH, Gasser DL. Evidence from family studies, for linkage disequilibrium between TGFA and a gene for non syndromic cleft lip with or without palate. Am J Hum Genetics 55: 932-936, 1994.
51. Mitchell LB. Transforming growth factor alpha locus and non syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate; a reappraisal. Genet Epidemiol 4: 231-240, 1997.
52. Shaw GM, Wasserman CR, Lammer BJ, O'Malley CD, Murray JC, Basart Amand Tolarova MM. Orofacial clefts, parental cigarette smoking and transforming growth factor alpha gene variants. Am J Hum Genetics 58: 551-561, 1996.
53. Beiraghi S, Foround T, Dlouhy 5, Bixler D, Delohter-Blanchet D, Conneally PM and Hodes ME. Possible localization of a major gene for cleft lip and palate to 4q. Clin Genet 46: 255-256, 1994.
54. Mitchell LE, Healey SC, Chenevix-Trench G. Evidence for an association between nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate and a gene located on the long arm of the chromosome 4. Am J Hum Genetics 57: 1130-1136, 1995.
55. Biberg H, Bixler D, Nielsen LS, Conneally PM, Mohr J. Suggestion of linkage of a major locus for non syndromic orofacial cleft with F13A and tentative assignment to chromosome 6. Clin Genet 32: 129-132, 1987.
56. Hecht JT, Wang Y, Connor B, Blanton SH and Daiger SP. Non syndromic cleft lip and palate- no evidence of linkage to HLA or factor 13A. Am J Hum Genetics 52: 1230-1233, 1993.
57. Blanton SH, Crowder B, Malcolm 5, Winter R, Gasser DL, Stal 5, Mulliken J and Hecht JT. Exclusion of linkage between cleft lip with or without cleft palate and marker on chromosome 4 and 6. Am J Hum Genetics 58: 239-241, 1996.
58. Korman-Bortolotto MIII, FarTh LMS, Soares D, Corbani M, Muller R and Adell ACA. Terminal deletion 6p%3; a case report. Am J Med Genetics 37: 475-477, 1990.
59. Donnai D, Heather U, Sinclair P, Thakker Y, Scambler P and Dixon MJ. Association of autosomal dominant cleft lip palate and translocation 6p23;9q22.3. Clin Dysmorph 1: 89-97, 1992.
60. Mehra S, Verma IC. Ecogenetics of congenital craniofacial malformation. International Committee on The Human Genome. Am J Hum Genetics 1: 49 (Suppl.): AJSO, 1999.
61. Van Dyke DC, Goldman AS, Spielman RS, Zmijewski CM, Oka SW. Segregation of HLA in sibs with cleft lip or cleft lip and palate: evidence against linkage. Cleft Palate J 17: 189-193, 1980.
62. Wantanbe T, Ohishi M, Tashiro H. Population and family studies of HLA in Japanese with cleft lip and palate. Cleft Palate J 21: 293-300, 1984.
63. Davies AF, Stephens RJ, Olavesen MG, Heather L, Dixon MJ, Magee A, Flinter F, Ragoussis J. Evidence of a locus for orofacial clefting on human chromosome 6pYt and STS content map of the region. Hum Mol Genetics 4: 121-128, 1995.
64. Carinci F, Pezzetti F, Scapoli L, Padula B, Baciliero U, Curioni C, Tognon M. Non syndromic cleft lip and palate : evidence of linkage to microsatellite markers on 6p23. Am J Hum Genetics 56: 337-339, 1995.
65. Scapoli L, Pezzetti F, Carinci F, Martinelli M, Carinci P, Tognon M. Genetic heterogeneity and evidence of linkage to 6pZ3 in non syndromal cleft lip with or without cleft palate. Genomics 43: 216-220, 1997.
66. Shaw D, Ray A, Marazita M, and Field L. Further evidence of a relationship between the retenoic acid receptor alpha locus and non syndromic cleft lip with or without palate. Am J Hum Genetics 53: 1156-1157, 1993.
67. Vintiner GM, Lo KK, Holder SB, Minter RM, Malcolm S. Exclusion of candidate genes from a role in cleft lip with or without cleft palate : linkage and association studies. J Med Genetics 30: 773-778, 1993.
68. Stein J, Hecht JT, Blanton SH. Exclusion of retenoic acid receptor and a cartilage matrix protein in non syndromic CL(P) families. J Med Genetics 32: 78, 1995.
69. Stein J, Mulliken JB, Stal S, Gasser DL, Malcolm S, Winter R, Blanton SH, Amos C, Seemanova B, Hecht JT. Non syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate: evidence of linkage to BCL3 in 17 multigenerational families. Am J Hum Genetics 57: 257-272, 1995.
70. Amos C, Gasser D, Hecht IF. Non syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate: new BCL3 information. Am J Hum Genetics 59: 743-744, 1996.
71. Wyszynski DF, Maestri N, McIntosh I, Smith BA, Lewanda AF, Garcia-Delgado C, Vinageras-Guarneros B, Wulfsberg B, Beaty TH. Evidence for an association between markers on chromosome 19q and non syndromal cleft lip with or without cleft palate in two groups of multiplex families. Hum Genetics 9: 22-26, 1997.
72. Martinelli M, Scapoli L, Pezzetti F, Carinci F, Carinci P, Baciliero U, Padula B and Tognon M. Suggestive linkage between markers on chromosome 19q13.2 and non syndromic orofacial cleft malformation. Genomics 51: 177-181, 1998.
73. Andrew B. Poole. The Dental Clinics of North America - Symposium on genetics. Vol 19/No 1 Jan 1975.
74. Mossey PA. The heritability of malocclusion: Part 1- Genetics, principles and terminology. Br J Orthod 26: 103-113, 1999.
75. Gruneberg H. Genetical studies on the skeleton of the Moose IV. Quasicontinuous variations. J Genet 51: 95-114, 1952.
76. Ferguson MWJ. Palate development. Development 103: 41-60, 1988.
77. Bonaiti-Pellie C, Briand ML, Feingold J, Pavy B, Psaume J, Migne-Tuffer G, Kaplan J. An epidemiological and genetic study of facial clefting in France I. Epidemiological and frequency in relatives. J Med Genetics 1: 374-377, 1982.
78. Milan M, Astolfi G, Volpato 5, Garani GP, Clementi M, Tenconi R, Boni S, Calzolari B. 766 cases of oral cleft in Italy. Data from Emilia-Romagna (IIMIER) and North east Italy (NEI) register. Eur J Epidemiol 10: 317-324, 1994.
79. Woolf CM, Wolf RM and Broadbent TR. A genetic study of cleft lip and palate in Utah. Am J Hum Genetics 15: 209-215, 1963.
80. Shield ED, Bixler D, Fogh Anderson. Cleft palate: a genetic and epidemiologic investigation. Clin Genetics 20: 13-24, 1981.
81. Fitzpatrick D, Farral M. An estimation of the number of susceptibility loci for isolated cleft palate. J Craniofac Genet Dev Biol 13: 230-235, 1993.
82. Christensen K, Mitchell LB. Familial recurrence pattern analysis of non-syndromal isolated cleft palate-A Danish registry study. Am J Hum Genetics 58: 182-190, 1996.
83. Christensen K, Fogh Anderson P. Etiological subgroups in non-syndromal isolated cleft palate. A genetic epidemiological study of 52 Danish birth cohorts. Clin Genetics 46: 329-335, 1994.
84. Shiang R, Lidral AC, Ardinger HH, Buetow KH, Romitti PA, Munger RG, Murray JC. Association of transforming growth factor alpha 4 gene polymorphism with non syndromal cleft palate only. Am J Hum Genetics 53: 836-843, 1993.

85. Lidral AC, Romitti PA, Basart AM, Doetschman T, Leysens NJ, Daack-Hirsch S, Semina EV, Johnson LR, Machida J, Burds A, Parnell TJ, Rubenstein JIL, Murray JC. Association of MSX1 and TGFB3 with non syndromal clefting in humans. *Am J Hum Genetics* 63: 557-568, 1998.
86. Forbes SA, Brennan L, Richardson M, Coffey A, Cole CG, Gregory SG, Bentley DR, Mumm S, Moore GB, Stainer P. Refined mapping and YAC contig construction of the X-linked cleft palate and ankyloglossia locus including the proximal X-Y homology breakpoint within Xq21.3. *Genomics* 31: 36-43, 1996.
87. Van der Woude syndrome. A case report. *Pediatr Dermatol* 15: 459-463, 1998.
88. Tolarova M, Zwinger A. The use of fetoscopy in born morphological anomalies. *Rozhledy V. Chirurgii* 10: 758, 1981.
89. Elejalde M, Blejalde MC. Fetal phenotypic analysis. *Indian T. Pediatr* 53: 477, 1986.
90. Zavala C, Saavedra D, Samperio-Sanchez LM. Differences in recurrence risk for siblings for cleft lip and/or palate depending on the degree of the malformation and on family history. *Rev Invest Clin* 35: 49-53, 1983.
91. Anderson CE, Rotter JI, Zonana J. Hereditary considerations in common disorders. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 25: 539-56, 1978.
92. Kadasi L, Ferak V, Gencik A, Demjen S. Recurrence risk figures for cleft lip and/or cleft palate. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 69: 286-91, 1978.
93. Bonaiti-Pellie C, Feingold J, Briard ML, Frezal J. Risk of recurrence of several congenital malformations: anencephaly, spina bifida, cleft palate and cleft lip. *Arch Fr Pediatr* 33: 973-86, 1976.
94. Spence MA, Westlake J, Lange K, Gold DP. Estimation of polygenic recurrence risk for cleft lip and palate. *Hum Hered* 26: 327-36, 1976.
95. Bonaiti-Pellie C, Smith C. Risk tables for genetic counseling in some common congenital malformations. *J Med Genet* 1: 374-7, 1974.
96. Carter CO. Recurrence risk of common congenital malformations. *Practitioner* 3: 667-74, 1974.
97. Tolarova M, Morton NE. Cleft lip and palate-recurrence risk and genetic counseling. *Acta Univ Carol Med Monogr* 56: 83-90, 1973.
98. Tolarova M. Empirical recurrence risk figures for genetic counseling of clefts. *Acta Chir Plast* 14: 234-5, 1972.
99. Smith DW, Aase JM. Polygenic inheritance of certain common malformations. Evidence and empiric recurrence risk data. *J Pediatr* 76: 652-9, 1970.
100. Tenconi R, Clementi M, Turolla L. Theoretical recurrence risks for cleft lip derived from a population of consecutive newborns. *J Med Genet* 25: 243-6, 1988.